

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/016,090 | 12/13/2001 | David Clifford Long | FIS920010163US1 | 6883 |
| 32074 7 | 590 03/10/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION | | | POKER, JENNIFER A | |
| DEPT. 18G | | | | |
| BLDG. 300-48 | 2 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 2070 ROUTE 5 | 52 | | 2832 | |
| HOPEWELL J | UNCTION, NY 1253 | 3 | DATE MAILED: 03/10/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 10/016,090 | LONG ET AL. | | | | |
| , and the second | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Jennifer A. Poker | 2832 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence addi | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 15 December 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | void abandonment of this applic 1) a timely filed amendment whi | cation. A proper rep ch places the applic | oly to a cation in | | | |
| | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverset, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY-CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). | isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of | f the final rejection. | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in | fee. The appropriate extended the final Office action; or | ension fee under (2) as set forth in | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | - | | | | | |
| 2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b | ecause: | | | | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | in better form for appeal by mat | erially reducing or s | implifying the | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE: | ling a corresponding number of | finally rejected clair | ns. | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejections. | rtion(s). | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | • • | separate, timely filed | d amendment | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See | | sidered but does NC | OT place the | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | | to issues which we | re newly | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w | | | and an | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13 and 21-23</u> . | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app | proved or b) disapproved by | the Examiner. | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme | ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | OCHOVA | V | | | |
| 10. Other: | | RIMARY EXAMINE GROUP 2180 | :R | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The combination of cancelled claim 3 into claim 1 does not place the application in better form for allowance/appeal. Claims 1 and 3 had already been considered in office action dated August 24, 2004.